



Staff Report

RESOLUTION APPROVING A REVISED LOCAL MATCH FOR THE ALLOCATION OF FY 2008/2009 TRANSPORTATION ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDING FOR THE RALSTON AVENUE CURB RAMP PROJECT - PHASE ONE

Honorable Mayor and Council Members:

Summary

Staff is requesting the City Council to approve a revised request for the allocation of FY09 Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 Program for the Ralston Avenue Curb Ramp Project – Phase One.

Background

On January 9, 2008, the Council approved the submission of an application for \$80,000 TDA grant to improve and install Americans Disabilities Act (ADA) compliant curb ramps at various locations along Ralston Avenue. The funding is administered by the City/County Association of Governments (C/CAG) for pedestrian and bicycle related projects.

The original application included eight intersections to install or upgrade curb ramps to ADA compliance. These locations are at the intersections of Ralston Avenue with Sixth Avenue, Chula Vista Drive, Notre Dame Avenue, Chevy Street, Avon Street, Maywood Drive, Academy Drive and Villa Avenue. The intention of the project is to ‘eliminate or improve an identified problem area’, ‘provide a continuous interconnected route to activity centers where they did not previously exist’, and ‘enhance pedestrian commuting.’ Upon completion, the original project will enhance an improved pedestrian route along Ralston Avenue between the senior-care facilities, shopping centers, schools and the downtown area. The application was submitted to C/CAG on January 11, 2008.

Discussion

The City received confirmation from C/CAG that \$40,000 TDA grant is approved for half of the project because of limited funding. Staff proposes to divide the original project into two phases. The following locations are recommended to be included in the phase one project: Ralston Avenue at Villa Avenue, Academy Drive, Avon Street, Chevy Street, and Notre Dame Avenue. These curb ramps will allow an improved continuous interconnected route from the library, Carlmont Shopping Center and schools. The total estimated project cost is \$72,500 with \$32,500 local match. Staff will continue to request other funding for the construction of the remaining curb ramps as outlined in the original application.

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), as the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay Region, has adopted MTC Resolution No. 875, Revised, entitled “Transportation Development Act, Article 3, Pedestrian/Bicycle Projects,” which delineates procedures and criteria for submission of requests for the allocation of “TDA Article 3” funding. The MTC Resolution No. 875, Revised, requires that requests for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funding be submitted as part of a single, countywide coordinated claim from each county in the San Francisco Bay Region.

Staff is requesting approval to file a revised resolution authorizing \$32,500 local match for the Ralston Avenue Curb Ramp Project – Phase One. The City is required to provide this revised resolution to fulfill the grant requirements. The approved TDA funding must be expended by July 2011.

General Plan/Vision Statement

The installation of curb ramps will satisfy the following goal and policy in the General Plan:

Policy 2081.6 “*Street and road improvement standards, including rights-of-way, pavement width and grade, should provide for reasonable safety and recognize variations in local physical conditions.*” - The installation of curb ramps within City right-of-way shall improve the accessibility in the area.

Fiscal Impact

The City will provide the matching funds from the Measure A Funds Account #234-4312-3100-9030.

Public Contact

The publication and posting of the City Council agenda.

Recommendation

Staff recommends that the City Council adopt the attached revised resolution for the Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 grant for the Ralston Curb Ramp Project – Phase One.

Alternatives

1. Take no action.
2. Refer back to staff for further information.
3. Approve additional City funds for the construction of all the curb ramps as originally proposed in the funding application; direct staff to prepare an amended resolution to reflect this decision.

Attachments

- A. Resolution
- B. Attachment A-Findings – Council Only
- C. Attachment B-Application – Council Only

Respectfully submitted,

Gilbert Yau, P.E.
Sr. Civil Engineer

Raymond E. Davis III, PE, PTOE
Public Works Director

Jack R. Crist
City Manager

Staff Contact:
Gilbert Yau, P.E.
(650) 595-7467
gyau@belmont.gov

RESOLUTION NO. _____

**RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BELMONT APPROVING A
REVISED LOCAL MATCH FOR THE ALLOCATION OF FY 2008/2009
TRANSPORTATION ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDING FOR THE RALSTON AVENUE CURB
RAMP PROJECT – PHASE ONE**

WHEREAS, Article 3 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 99200 authorizes the submission of claims to a regional transportation planning agency for the funding of projects exclusively for the benefit and/or use of pedestrians and bicyclists; and,

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), as the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay Region, has adopted MTC Resolution No. 875, Revised, entitled “Transportation Development Act, Article 3, Pedestrian/Bicycle Projects,” which delineates procedures and criteria for submission of requests for the allocation of “TDA Article 3” funding; and,

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), Resolution No. 875, Revised, requires that requests for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funding be submitted as part of a single, countywide coordinated claim from each county in the San Francisco Bay Region; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Belmont desires to submit a request to MTC for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funds to support the projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, which are for the exclusive benefit and/or use of pedestrians and/or bicyclists.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Belmont declares that:

1. The City of Belmont is eligible to request an allocation of TDA Article 3 funds pursuant to Section 99234 of the Public Utilities Code.
2. There is no pending or threatened litigation that might adversely affect the project or projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, or that might impair the ability of the City of Belmont to carry out the project.
3. The City of Belmont attests to the accuracy of and approves the statements in Attachment A to this resolution.
4. A certified copy of this resolution and its attachments, and any accompanying supporting materials shall be forwarded to the congestion management agency, countywide transportation planning agency, or county association of governments of San Mateo County for submission to MTC as part of the countywide coordinated TDA Article 3 claim.

* * * * *

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly and regularly passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Belmont at a regular meeting thereof held on April 22, 2008 by the following vote:

AYES, COUNCILMEMBERS: _____

NOES, COUNCILMEMBERS: _____

ABSTAIN, COUNCILMEMBERS: _____

ABSENT, COUNCILMEMBERS: _____

CLERK of the City of Belmont

APPROVED:

MAYOR of the City of Belmont

ATTACHMENT A

Findings

1. That the City of Belmont is not legally impeded from submitting a request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the allocation of Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 funds, nor is the City of Belmont legally impeded from undertaking the project(s) described in “Attachment B” of this resolution.
2. That the City of Belmont has committed adequate staffing resources to complete the project(s) described in Attachment B.
3. A review of the project(s) described in Attachment B has resulted in the consideration of all pertinent matters, including those related to environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances, attendant to the successful completion of the project(s).
4. Issues attendant to securing environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances for the projects described in Attachment B have been reviewed and will be concluded in a manner and on a schedule that will not jeopardize the deadline for the use of the TDA funds being requested.
5. That the project(s) described in Attachment B comply with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.).
6. That as portrayed in the budgetary description(s) of the project(s) in Attachment B, the sources of funding other than TDA are assured and adequate for completion of the project(s).
7. That the project(s) described in Attachment B are for capital construction and/or design engineering; and/or for the maintenance of a Class I bikeway which is closed to motorized traffic; and/or for the purposes of restriping Class II bicycle lanes; and/or for the development or support of a bicycle safety education program; and/or for the development of a comprehensive bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities plan, and an allocation of TDA Article 3 funding for such a plan has not been received by the City Of Belmont within the prior five fiscal years.
8. That the project(s) described in Attachment B which are bicycle projects have been included in a detailed bicycle circulation element included in an adopted general plan, or included in an adopted comprehensive bikeway plan (such as outlined in Section 2377 of the California Bikeways Act, Streets and Highways Code section 2370 et seq.).
9. That any project described in Attachment B that is a “Class I Bikeway,” meets the mandatory minimum safety design criteria published in Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual.
10. That the project(s) described in Attachment B are ready to commence implementation during the fiscal year of the requested allocation.
11. That the City Of Belmont agrees to maintain, or provide for the maintenance of, the project(s) and facilities described in Attachment B, for the benefit of and use by the public.

Attachment B

TDA Article 3 Project Application Form

Fiscal Year of this Claim: 2008-2009 Applicant: City of Belmont

Contact person: Gilbert Yau

Mailing Address: City of Belmont, One Twin Pine Lane, Belmont, CA 94002

E-Mail Address: gyau@belmont.gov Telephone: 650-595-7467

Secondary Contact (in event primary not available) Karen Borrmann

E-Mail Address: kbormann@belmont.gov Telephone: 650-595-7469

Short Title Description of Project: Ralston Avenue Curb Ramp Project – Phase One

Amount of claim: \$40,000

Functional Description of Project:

Install curb ramps at five intersections on Ralston Avenue with, Notre Dame Avenue, Avon Street, Chevy Street,, Academy Drive, and Villa Avenue.

Financial Plan:

List the project elements for which TDA funding is being requested (e.g., planning, environmental, engineering, right-of-way, construction, inspection, contingency, audit). Use the table below to show the project budget. Include prior and proposed future funding of the project. If the project is a segment of a larger project, include prior and proposed funding sources for the other segments.

Project Elements: Environmental, right of way, engineering construction, construction management, contingency audit etc.

Funding Source	All Prior FYs	Application FY	Next FY	Following FYs	Totals
TDA Article 3		10,000	30,000		40,000
list all other sources:					
1. Measure A		5,000	27,500		32,500
2.					
3.					
4.					
Totals		15,000	57,500		72,500

Project Eligibility:	YES?/NO?
A. Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? (If "NO," provide the approximate date approval is anticipated).	Yes
B. Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page.	No
C. For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: http://www.dot.ca.gov).	N/A
D. Has the project been reviewed by a Bicycle Advisory Committee? (If "NO," provide an explanation).	Yes
E. Has the public availability of the environmental compliance documentation for the project (pursuant to CEQA) been evidenced by the dated stamping of the document by the county clerk or county recorder? (required only for projects that include construction).	Yes
F. Will the project be completed before the allocation expires? Enter the anticipated completion date of project (month and year) <u>10/2008</u>	Yes
G. Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility provide its name: _____)	Yes